UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/612,352	07/02/2003	Thomas Dera	A03754	3160
		7590 08/24/200 ENBERG STEMER L		EXAMINER	
	P O BOX 2480	•	NGUYEN, PHONG H		
	HOLLYWOOL	O, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3724	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				08/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-,						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A.4!- 2	10/612,352	DERA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phong H. Nguyen	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		`.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 June 2007</u> .					
	action is non-final.	•				
· <u> </u>	/ _					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5 and 7-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	·	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ad.				
The state of the s						
		·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/612,352

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-4 and 6 in the reply filed on 06/04/2007 is acknowledged.

It is to be noted that there is a typographical error in the Office Action dated 05/22/2007. Group III consists of claims 1-4 and 6 but not claims 1-5 and 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Messina (5,285,768).

Regarding claim 1, Messina teaches a device capable of being used for cutting side edges of sheet-form material for biding comprising:

a tool body 12;

one cutting element 22 fixed to the tool body and defining one cutting edge; and

one notching segment 24.

See Figs. 1-2.

Art Unit: 3724

Regarding claim 4, a plurality of cutting edges is best seen in Figs. 1-2.

Regarding claim 6, two notching elements 24A disposed opposite to each other are best seen in Fig. 2.

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dietz (5,323,670).

Regarding claim 1, Dietz teaches a device capable of being used for cutting side edges of sheet-form material for biding comprising:

a tool body 11;

one cutting element 22 fixed to the tool body and defining one cutting edge 35; and

one notching segment 33.

See Fig. 1.

Regarding claim 2, the notching element being ground out of the cutting element 12 is best seen in Fig. 1.

It is to be noted that claim 2 is a product-by-process claim and the product itself does not depend of the process for making it.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3724

Application/Control Number: 10/612,352

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Messina (5,285,768) in view of Curtsinger et al. (5,896,800), hereinafter Curtsinger.

Messina teaches the invention substantially as claimed except for the cutting element being soldered to the tool body.

Curtsinger teaches securing a cutting member to a tool body by soldering. See col. 5, lines 52-62.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to secure the cutting member of Messina to the tool body by soldering as taught by Curtsinger.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 in the Remarks filed on 04/12/2005 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/612,352

Art Unit: 3724

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phong H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3724

/Timothy V. Eley/ Primary Examiner, A.U. 3724

PN:

August 17, 2007